

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trad mark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 08/862,442 05/23/97 SHYJAN Α 07334/004002 **EXAMINER** HM12/0410 J PETER FASSE BURKE, J FISH & RICHARDSON **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER 225 FRANKLIN STREET BOSTON MA 02110-2804 1642 DATE MAILED: 04/10/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No.

Applic 08/862,442

Shyjan et al

Advisory Action

Examiner

Julie E. Burke (Reeves), Ph.D.

Group Art Unit 1642



THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
four months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whose is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whose is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whose is later.	
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate lee. If date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be determined from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>2 Mar 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
X The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.	
[V] will not be entered because:	
They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).	
(See note below).	
they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying	the
they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
thereby necessitating further search & consideration	<u></u>
NOTE: Amdt alters sed id nos, tragments sizes & ranges, this est 29(g), (h), 37, 38, 55, for examples.	
Including evaluation for new matter as pro-	
including evaluation for new matter a pro-	<del></del>
The following rejection(s):	l have
The following rojection(s):	l have
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would	
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	а
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in content of the allowable provides and the services of the application in content of the allowable provides and the services of the application in content of the application in content of the allowable provides and the services of the application in content of the application i	а
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	а
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in confor allowance because:  teh amdt had not been entered.	a
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conformal and the second considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rain the final rejection.	a
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conformal and the previous office action would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conformation and the previous office action would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rain	a
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conformal and the final rejection.  The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly rain the Examiner in the final rejection.  For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):	a ndition sed by
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowable if submitted in separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.  The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in conformal and the sequence of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):  Claims allowed: none	a ndition sed by
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims	andition sed by
<ul> <li>✓ Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):         Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.</li></ul>	andition sed by
<ul> <li>X Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):         Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.</li></ul>	andition sed by
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):  Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.  Newly proposed or amended claims	andition sed by
<ul> <li>X Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):         Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.</li></ul>	andition sed by
<ul> <li>X Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):         Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.</li></ul>	andition sed by
<ul> <li>X Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):         Had the response been entered, the rejections set forth in paragraphs 7-16 of the previous office action would been overcome.</li></ul>	andition sed by